Isotopic and chloride investigations have been conducted to estimate local hydrologic processes taking place in the semiarid Gafsa Plain (Southern Tunisia). A sandy soil core has been sampled at one site where the water table is at about 6 m depth and where water level fluctuations indicate a direct recharge of the shallow aquifer. Oxygen 18 and chloride data have been interpreted using the model of Barnes and Allison to suggest that evaporation rates from groundwater average around 11 mm/y. The rates from the shallow aquifer level to the soil surface range from 7 to 22 mm/y. The model parameters highlight that evaporation mechanisms are increasingly dominated by vapor phase transfers as the thickness of the layer through which water evaporates increases. The recharge rates by direct rainfall infiltration have been estimated by chloride mass balance method to range from 3 to 5 mm/y, with a mean value of 3.9 mm/y. This represents about 2.4% of local rainfall (164 mm/year). The long residence time of 77.8 years shown by the 6m depth chloride profile indicates slow recharge processes.
INTRODUCTION

In arid and semiarid areas, groundwater is often the single resource available to supply human needs, thus a reliable knowledge of the water balance is necessary to ensure a sustainable management of water resources. Nowadays, the assessment of evaporation rate remains difficult to estimate due to several causes including costly direct measurements. Even if the upper first few meters of the soil profile are the hydrologically most active in these regions due to the strong influence of environmental changes such as temperature and precipitation on soil water and solutes, basic methods founded on climatic and/or hydrodynamic parameters are strongly limited by very low ranges of hydrological fluxes. Theoretical and experimental studies (Zimmermann et al. 1967; Barnes and Allison 1983; Sonntag et al., 1985) showed that the isotopic composition of groundwater in arid areas can be interpreted in terms of evaporation rates. Induced methodologies were extended for the study of unsaturated zones (Allison and Hughes, 1983; Fontes et al., 1986). In addition, chloride is hydrologically very mobile and chemically very inert, and therefore can be considered as a nearly ideal natural tracer for the study of soil water movement in the liquid phase, and for the estimation of long-term average infiltration rates (Gaye and Edmunds, 1996; Cook et al. 1992; Liu et al. 1995). The efficiency of chloride profile based methods is also corroborated by stable isotope profile interpretations (Fontes et al., 1986).

In the Gafsa Plain, southern Tunisia, previous studies (IGIP, 1998) have evaluated infiltration rates using rainfall-runoff relationships for different ranges of permeability. These studies have estimated an infiltration rate around 2% of precipitation for low permeability unsaturated sediments ($10^{-7}$ m/s) and around 5% for high permeability ones ($10^{-3}$ m/s). Another approach based on spring discharge suggested an infiltration rate ranging between 15 and 18% of precipitation (IGIP, 1998). However, evaporation rates from the aquifer have never been quantified.

The objective of this study is to apply the environmental tracers $^{18}$O and Cl to an investigation of infiltration and evaporation rates in the unsaturated zone in the Gafsa Plain.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The northern Gafsa Plain is situated in central Tunisia (Figure 1). It extends over an area of 3750 km$^2$ north of the town of Gafsa between latitudes $38^\circ22'N$ and $38^\circ61'N$ and longitudes $6^\circ81'E$ and $7^\circ6'E$. This plain is delimited from north to northeast successively by Jebel Sidi Aïch, Souinia, Majoura and ElGoussa, in the east by the Orbata and Bou Hedma anticlines, in the south by Jebel Orbata and the Gafsa fault, in the southwest by Jebels Ben Younès and Bou Ramli, and in northwest by the plate of Majen Bel Abbès.

The plain of Gafsa is located in central Tunisia where both occidental Mediterranean and Saharan systems coming respectively from the north and from the south influence climate. The plain is characterized by semiarid conditions with low rainfall averaging 164 mm/year distributed on 13 to 50 days and a high mean annual temperature around 19°C. The plain is marked by regular topography with a main slope towards the south. Altitudes decrease from 650 m in the area of Majen Bel Abbès to less than 300 m around Gafsa. The hydrographic network is endoreïc. The western part of the plain is crossed by wadi el Kébir and wadi Sidi Aïch while in the eastern part, wadis originating from the surrounding jebels reach wadi el Melah but only during rare flooding periods.

The Ouled M’Hamed survey zone is located in the central part of the northern plain of Gafsa. Topography is rather flat, the land is generally barren, with few olive and cactus plants. In this area,
groundwater resources have been highly exploited for a long time. This is due the fact that the water level is close to the surface with a depth that does not exceed 10 m allowing direct and easy access for human uses.

The subsurface lithology presents important lateral and vertical variations. Geological formations in core S1 (Figure 2) are mainly sandy. Two kilometers south of this core, core S2 of 7.5 m depth has several strata of clays and gypsum whose frequency and thickness increase with depth. The core sites are exposed to a typical Mediterranean climate with two contrasted seasons: a dry hot summer extending from May to October during which temperatures are higher than the annual mean and can reach extreme values as 45°C. During this season, rainfall is less than 20% of the annual mean and is mostly nonexistent. The second season is relatively wet and warm, temperatures are less than the annual mean and can reach extreme values as 6°C while rainfall is about 80% of the annual average.

Figure 1. Geographical location of the Plain of Gafsa.

Figure 2. Location of the core S1 for the study of the unsaturated zone.
Three wells located nearest the core sites have been chosen from the shallow aquifer monitoring network of northern Gafsa in order to follow water level fluctuations in response to rainfall (Figure 3).

In the Oglet M’Hamed zone, where wells DRE163 and DRE272 are located, clayey and gypsum intercalations reduce the aquifer recharge by retaining water and favoring lateral subsurface movement when saturated. In the case of well DRE621 rainfall crossing sandy geological layers moves by a piston flow mechanism rain water previously stored in the unsaturated zone, which then reaches the aquifer and causes a rise of the water table. This zone is considered appropriate for the location of the S1 core to study infiltration and the evaporation through the unsaturated zone.

Hydrochemical analyses (standard protocols) have been carried out on groundwater sampled around the core site in order to characterize the shallow aquifer under the unsaturated zone. Results have been supplemented by data from the water resources office of Gafsa (CRDA, 2000). Groundwaters are highly mineralized with a mean salinity ranging around 5 g/l and a maximum value over 15 g/l. This has been explained by high evaporation (Farhat and Moumni, 1989). On the other hand, the lithology of the subsoil associated with a very weak hydraulic gradient (0.5 %) can facilitate the concentration of dissolved salts in water. The chemical facies is generally mixed sulfate.

**UNSATURATED ZONE STUDY**

**Materials and methods**

The flux of water in the unsaturated zone has been estimated for a core from a mechanical auger at site S1 in the Ouled M’Hamed zone. The core crosses the entire thickness of the unsaturated zone which is made up of fine to coarse sands (Figure 4a). The water table of the shallow aquifer was located at 4.85 m. The soil profile was determined with a sampling interval of 5-10 cm to a depth of 5.45 m. Several different analyses have been carried out in the laboratory:

- The relative importance of the liquid phase in the soil is measured by the volumetric water content ($\theta$) and by the mass water content ($w$), that are directly related by the soil apparent density ($d$) measured by a mercury pycnometer to a value of 1.3 g/cm$^3$ (Musy and Soutter, 1991).

- The chemical composition the soil water has been obtained by the lixiviation of the soil sample. A volume of distilled water ($V_{\text{lix}}$) is added to a mass of soil ($M_s$) and then submitted to mechanical continuous shaking until the stabilization of the conductivity. The lixiviat is filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and then analyzed for different chemical elements. For each analyzed element, the concentration of the soil water ($C_i$) is related to the concentration of the lixiviat ($C_{\text{lix}}$) given the mass water content of the soil ($w$) as in Equation 1.

$$C_i = \frac{V_{\text{lix}} \cdot C_{\text{lix}}}{w \cdot M_s} \tag{1}$$

- For the isotopic contents ($^{18}$O and deuterium), soil water (100 g) is extracted by distillation under vacuum conditions at 50°C during 8 h, then water is condensed and trapped in tubes under low temperature (-188°C) for isotope analysis (Gouvea Da Silva Rosa, 1980).
Analytical data

The volumetric water content of the first 20 cm layer averages around 5% and remains quite constant up to a depth of 365 cm (Figure 4b). Beyond this depth, the volumetric water content increases up to its maximum value (22%) at the 465 cm limit indicating the influence of the capillary fringe ascending water movement from the saturated zone.
The stable isotope content profile shows the characteristic trend of an evaporation profile from the surface to the shallow aquifer with a succession of picks (Figure 4c). Assuming that the water movement in the unsaturated zone is of piston flow type, negative picks correspond to rainy episodes separated by positive picks from dry periods (Grünberger, 1989). Consequently, the profile has been divided into five evaporation phases (A, B, C, D, E) with the base of each one coinciding with a negative pick. The evaporation phenomenon is well identified on the oxygen 18 vs deuterium diagram where all points plot under the GMWL along a line with a slope of 1.7 that indicates a strong evaporation effect (Figure 5). This evaporation line, plotted for the whole water soil profile is influenced by points of the A phase, whose evaporation line slope is around 1.06. Indeed, the evaporation effect is higher closer to the surface. The low slope (1.06) indicates a kinetic evaporation phenomenon close to the one induced by a pure molecular diffusion of the water vapor (1.026 slope) such as that observed in several other studies for subsurface layers (Gouvea Da Silva Rosa, 1980; Allison and Hughes, 1983).

According to Barnes and Allison (1983), the slope for water evaporating from dry soils ranges generally around 3. However, a slope of 1.7 is too low to be explained by the contribution of kinetic evaporation to the total enrichment (a minimum slope of 2.7). Fontes et al. (1986) have explained this phenomenon by a mixing of waters from previous infiltration events both above and below the evaporation front.

The chloride profile for the soil water of the core is also characteristic. It can be seen that the first chemical evaporation front indicated by the pick situated nearest the surface does not coincide with the isotopic evaporation front.

**PRINCIPLE OF THE MODEL**

**Isotopic model**

A soil containing a shallow aquifer with an isotopic content ($\delta_{\text{res}}$) and a certain ion concentration ($C_{\text{res}}$), when submitted to an atmosphere with a relative humidity (h) and with a water vapor isotopic content ($\delta_{\text{at}}$), if not recharged by precipitation, will dry and the mechanism of water transport will
set up under its two liquid and solid forms. After a certain time \( t \), an equilibrium regime during which the humidity fluxes are constant will be established. Stable isotope \(^{18}\text{O}\) and \( \text{D} \) profiles will take an exponential shape from the surface of the aquifer (Doering et al., 1963). A characteristic isotopic profile for a soil submitted to an evaporative regime under flow equilibrium (Barnes and Allison, 1983) shows two parts:

- A zone where the transfer is in the vapor phase and the isotopic gradient is positive. The profile varies from a minimum value at the surface \( \delta_{\text{at}} + \varepsilon(1-v) \) to a maximum value \( \delta_{\text{ef}} \) located at the evaporation front with a \( Z_{\text{ef}} \) depth. It is a diffusion zone where both evaporated and atmospheric vapor fluxes are mixed;

- A zone of a composite transfer of liquid and vapor phases where isotopic contents decrease from \( \delta_{\text{ef}} \) to \( \delta_{\text{res}} \).

If a temperature variation is considered, isotopic profiles will reach lower values than \( \delta_{\text{res}} \) and the evaporation front will be deeper with a more depleted isotopic content. As the variation of temperature in soil is weak for the present case study, the model is only presented for isothermal conditions. Under arid conditions, long periods between infiltration events allow soil profiles to equilibrate in steady state conditions. The evaporation rate is constant through both zones defining the isotopic profile (Taupin, 1995). In the composite transfer zone, the profile is described as follows (Equation 2):

\[
\delta_z = \left(\delta_{\text{ef}} - \delta_{\text{res}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{f(z)}{Z_L}\right) + \delta_{\text{res}}
\]

(2)

where \( \delta_z \) is the isotopic content (‰ vs SMOW) at a depth \( z \), and \( Z_L \) is the mean diffusion depth of the isotope into the soil in liquid phase (m). Two cases have to be considered for the weighting function \( f(z) \).
If the vapor flux is significant \( f(z) \) is given by Equation 3:

\[
 f(z) = \bar{\theta} \int_{z_l}^{z_e} \left( \theta + \left[ \frac{N_{sat} D_{atm}}{\rho_w D_{liq}} \left( n - \bar{\theta} \right) \right]^{-1} \right) \, dz
\]

where \( N_{sat} \) is the saturated water vapor content of the soil (Kg/m\(^3\)) depending on the temperature, \( D_{atm} \) and \( D_{liq} \) are the diffusivity of the isotope respectively in the air and in water depending on temperature (De Vries and Kruger, 1967); \( n \) is the porosity of the soil; \( \theta \) is the volumetric water content; and \( \rho_w \) is the density of liquid water (Kg.m\(^{-3}\)).

- If the liquid phase is dominant then \( f(z) \) is given by Equation 4:

\[
 f(z) = \int_{z_l}^{z_e} \sigma dz
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the excess of the isotope diffusion rate in vapor phase as given by recent measurements to be 1.032 (Cappa et al., 2003) rather than 1.028 (Merlivat, 1978).

The ratio between the liquid (\( Q_L \)) and the vapor fluxes (\( Q_V \))(Equation 5) allows identifying which case has to be retained:

\[
 \frac{Q_V}{Q_L} = \frac{Z_L}{Z_V} = \frac{N_{sat} D_{atm}}{\rho_w D_{liq} \bar{\theta}}
\]

where \( Z_L \) is the mean diffusion depth (m) of the isotope into the soil in vapor phase.

The model is defined by the 1/Z\(_L\) parameter obtained by a linear least squares optimization of the weighting function \( f(z) \) on \( \ln \left[ \frac{\delta_z - \delta_{res}}{\delta_{ef} - \delta_{res}} \right] \). The \( \delta_{res} \) and \( \delta_{ef} \) values are taken from the isotope content profile and weakly modified to improve the fit between measured and calculated isotope content values. The evaporation vertical flux (\( E \)) is given by the following equation (Equation 6):

\[
 E = \frac{\bar{\theta} \ast \tau \ast D_{liq}}{Z_L}
\]

where \( \tau \) is the tortuosity of the soil. As the soil texture is principally composed of medium and coarse sand, the tortuosity value was estimated between 0.6 and 0.67 (Penman, 1940).

**Chloride model**

The purpose here is to estimate the groundwater recharge using the chloride profiling method. Environmental chloride is deposited on land by atmospheric deposition processes (rainfall and dry fallout). If the chloride present in the unsaturated zone has atmospheric deposition as its source and if there is no other source or sink in the unsaturated zone for the chloride ions, then under steady state conditions assuming piston flow, it is possible to obtain a chloride mass balance for the chloride flux entering and leaving the root zone (Taupin, 1995; Scanlon, 1991; Gaye and Edmunds, 1996). Given these conditions, for each layer of soil, the chloride balance is given by the equilibrium between the mean concentration of chloride in soil water (\( C_p \)) and the mean recharge (\( R \)), or between the mean chloride concentration of rainfall (\( C_p \)) and the mean annual
rainfall \( (P) \) as expressed in Equation 7 (Edmunds and Walton, 1980):

\[
R = \frac{P \cdot C_p}{C_s}
\]  

(7)

Assuming that the soil profile has come to a chemical equilibrium, the residence time \( (t) \) of the quantity of chloride ions stored in a certain depth of soil \( (H) \) is expressed as follows (Equation 8) (Cook et al., 1992; Edmunds et al., 1999).

\[
t = \int_0^i \frac{C_s \cdot \theta}{C_p \cdot P} \, dz
\]  

(8)

The recharge rate of each evaporation phase is the average of recharge rates calculated for each soil layer of the phase weighted by the corresponding residence time.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The evaporation model has been applied for each evaporation phase indicated by the core profiles (Phase A, Phase B, Phase C, Phase D, Phase E) according to the oxygen 18 content profile (Table 1). The temperature has been considered constant for all depth, equal to the annual average temperature \( (T=19^\circ C) \). The values of the different variables depending on temperature are \( N_{sat}=15.61 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{Kg}/\text{m}^3, \, D_{atm}=0.241 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) and \( D_{liq} = 1.78 \times 10^{-9} \, \text{m}^2/\text{s} \).

The \( Z_v/Z_L \) ratio (Equation 5) calculated for each phase shows an increase from 1.35 in the subsurface soil layer (Phase A, 0-50 cm) up to 3.09 at the depth of Phase D (280-345 cm) indicating that the importance of vapor transfer increases as the evaporation front is deeper in the soil. The Phase E (345-545 cm) takes place in the zone influenced by the capillary ascending water movement as shown by the water content profile. This could explain the predominance of liquid transfer \( (Z_v/Z_L=0.29) \). Consequently, the composite transfer model has been applied (Equation 3) for all phases except for the interval E for which the liquid transfer model has been applied (Equation 4). All functions reveal good linearity with \( r^2 \) ranging from 0.85 to 1 (Figure 6) and a good agreement is observed between calculated and measured oxygen 18 content (Figure 7). Except for the subsurface layer, \( d_{res}^{18}O \) values are also quite constant ranging between -5 and -6.5 ‰ vs SMOW close to the signature of the shallow aquifer (Yermani, 2000). The \( d_{res}^{18}O \) allowing the best fit for the subsurface evaporative phase (A) is more enriched (0‰ vs SMOW) probably due to the fact that the soil water sampled at the bottom of this phase profile is a mixture between originating water (shallow aquifer) and enriched water (evaporation effect on infiltrated water).

The \( Z_L \) parameter (Equation 2) has been extracted for each evaporative phase and reported for the estimation of the evaporation rates (Equation 6) depending on \( t = 0.6 \) or 0.67 (Table 2). Mean evaporation rates vary from 22 to 7 mm/year from the near surface phase to the deeper one at 545 cm depth. A linear relationship is observed between the inverse of the evaporation rate and the thickness of the soil above the evaporation phase (Figure 8) as previously observed by Allison et al. (1983). If we ignore the two extreme values influenced by the surface for the top of the core and by the shallow water table for the bottom, an annual mean evaporation rate of 11 mm/year can be estimated using a steady-state model. The potential regional evapotranspiration rate is 1533-1638 mm/y. (Yermani, 2000). This suggests that less than 1% of water losses can be provided by the aquifer through the unsaturated zone.
Mean chloride concentration ($C_p$) of rainfall is taken equal to 8 mg/l by comparison to the meteorological station of Sfax (IAEA/WMO, 2004) with a mean annual precipitation recorded at the nearest meteorological station of Gafsa SM equal to 164 mm (Yermani, 2000). The recharge rate has been estimated for each sampled interval of the core S1 (Table 3). The recharge rate of each evaporative phase as indicated by isotopic and chloride profiles is the average of recharge rates calculated (Equation 8) for each soil layer of the phase, weighted by the corresponding residence time. If the deeper phase where the recharge rate is probably over estimated due to the capillarity effect, the mean recharge rate along the soil core profile ranges between 3 and 5 mm/year.

Transit time of percolation water along the core S1 (Table 3) indicates that the total profile has taken place during 77.8 years. This residence time refers to a percolation velocity of $V=0.06$ m/year, and with a mean water content in the unsaturated zone of 5% to a recharge of $R=3$ mm/year ($R=nV$). Considering that the sampling campaign was carried out in March 1997, probable dates of infiltration events separating the evaporation phases have been extracted from the precipitation time-series. It is noticeable that each infiltration event corresponds to local extreme flooding events such as those of 1989 or 1969.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>$f(z)$</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}O$ simulated</th>
<th>Isotopic model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$ = -13013$f(z)$ - 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.978$; $\delta_{res} = 0$ and $\delta_{ef} = 6$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.975$; $\delta_{res} = -5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 10$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.888$; $\delta_{res} = -5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 10$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>$r^2 = 1$; $\delta_{res} = -6$ and $\delta_{ef} = 12$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>-0.442</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$ = -9.481$f(z)$ - 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.975$; $\delta_{res} = -5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 10$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.888$; $\delta_{res} = -5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 10$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-4.14</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>$r^2 = 1$; $\delta_{res} = -6$ and $\delta_{ef} = 12$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td>-4.49</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$ = -14355$f(z)$ - 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-2.72</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.888$; $\delta_{res} = -5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 10$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>$r^2 = 1$; $\delta_{res} = -6$ and $\delta_{ef} = 12$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>265</td>
<td>-4.46</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>-4.39</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$ = -1451$f(z)$ + 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>345</td>
<td>-4.21</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>$r^2 = 1$; $\delta_{res} = -6$ and $\delta_{ef} = 12$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$ = -1.296$f(z)$ - 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>405</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>425</td>
<td>-3.54</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>465</td>
<td>-4.82</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>485</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>1.445</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>505</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>1.651</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>545</td>
<td>-5.88</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>2.064</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.993$; $\delta_{res} = -6.5$ and $\delta_{ef} = 3$ (‰ vs SMOW).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Calibration of the isotopic model for the profile of the core S1.
Figure 6. Isotopic model for the S1 core profile: relationship between $f(z)$ and $\ln \left( \frac{\delta_{18}O - \delta_{res}^{18}O}{\delta_{ef}^{18}O - \delta_{res}^{18}O} \right)$.
Figure 7. Isotopic model for the S1 core profile: measured and simulated oxygen 18 content (% vs SMOW).
The comparison of recharge rate to cumulative precipitation between infiltration events gives an estimation of infiltration rate for each phase. Except for the deeper soil layer (365-545 cm) where the capillarity effect has increased the soil water content, infiltration ranges between 2 and 3% of annual precipitation.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In arid zones, long dry periods allow the isotope profile to reach an equilibrium state between vertical water and vapor fluxes in the unsaturated zone. However, important infiltration events can interrupt this process by the mixture between enriched evaporated water and infiltrated rainwater. Consequently, the isotope profile is made up of successive evaporation phases during which typical isotope variation can be recognized. This work has been carried out on a soil core sampled in an arid zone with a near surface shallow aquifer. The isotopic model adequately describes the five evaporation phases recognized on the oxygen 18 profile. The ratios between the liquid and the vapor fluxes estimated for each of these phases indicate that the water transfer during evaporation mainly takes place in composite liquid-vapor phase. However, the vapor phase maximum in the near surface soil layer decreases with depth. In the study case, a capillary ascending movement of water from the shallow aquifer has influenced the isotopic profile of the deeper evaporation phase allowing the liquid phase transfer to become dominant. The calibration of the model shows that the originating water has the same isotopic signal as the shallow aquifer confirming that the main origin of evaporated water through the unsaturated zone comes from the aquifer rather than the infiltrated rainwater. The mean evaporation rate through the unsaturated zone increases as the surface becomes closer. A mean evaporation rate of 11 mm/year is retained with a minimum of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Thickness of the soil above the phase (cm)</th>
<th>Evaporation rate (mm/year)</th>
<th>Mean Evaporation rate (mm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20,7</td>
<td>22,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>16,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>10,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>11,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>6,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Evaporation rates.

![Figure 8. Linear relationship between the inverse of the evaporation rate and the thickness of the soil](image)
10 mm/year for a core depth of 5.45 m. This is very well known from many arid zones, where evaporation drops to less than 10% of the actual evaporation at water level depth of more than 2m below the land surface.

The chloride balance model applied to the same core gives an average value of 3 mm/year for the recharge rate and an infiltration coefficient ranging around 2~3 %. Time required to accumulate the chloride observed in the profile is estimated to be 77.8 years, highlighting low recharge processes.

Since conclusions are based on only one core site, results can not be extrapolated to estimate the balance of the shallow aquifer. However, the data discussed here show that isotopic and chloride data can contribute to improving the assessment of hydrological processes in arid zones.
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